**REMARKS** 

The rejection of Claims 1-14 as being unpatentable over Kato et al. in view

of Habert et al. under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is again traversed. Reconsideration is

respectfully requested in response to the Examiner's comments on page 3 of the

Office Action based upon the foregoing amendments, Applicant's previous

comments incorporated herein by reference and the following points.

Accepting solely for argument's sake the characterization in the Office

Action as to what Kato et al. and Habert et al. teach as set forth at said page 3,

Applicants note that they suggest nothing, even if added together, about

constraining an intermediate blank and an inner peripheral surface of the

magnetic pole claw and applying a forming pressure from a radical direction and

causing a local plastic flow to an outer peripheral end of each of the magnetic

pole claw, thereby forming a tapered surface on only one side of the outer

peripheral end and a permanent-magnet fastener on an inner, peripheral end

simultaneously by way of the same process.

The Kato et al. document discloses only the permanent-magnet fastener,

not both a tapered surface and the permanent-magnet fastener. Furthermore,

the direction of the forming force added to the part is completely different from

that of the present invention. As the forming force is added towards an axial

Page 6 of 8

direction, the edge line of the rotor periphery and the taper surface becomes the

same with an axial direction. In other words, there is no inclination, with the

result that a long life and accuracy of the die cannot be expected.

The Habert et al. patent discloses a rotor with a taper portion on the

magnetic pole claw. There is, however, no description whatsoever as to the

tapered surface or that the permanent-magnet fastener is formed an inner

peripheral end simultaneously in the same process.

By virtue of the present invention, however, the intermediate blank and

the inner peripheral surface of the magnetic pole claw are constrained and the

formed pressure is applied from a radical direction so as to cause a local plastic

flow to an outer peripheral end. Consequently, the tapered surface and the

permanent-magnet fastener are formed simultaneously by the same process in a

manner not contemplated by the prior art.

Accordingly, early and favorable action is now earnestly solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Page 7 of 8

Serial No. 10/824,408

Amendment Dated: February 19, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: August 17, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 056207.53989US

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #056207.53989US).

Respectfully submitted,

February 19, 2008

James F. McKeown

Registration No. 25,406

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JFM:slw

4937759\_1.DOC